

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,614	08/05/2005	Joerg Issberner	262338US0PCT	8527
22850	7590 09/07/2006		EXAM	INER
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET		BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		1713	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,614	ISSBERNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Bernshteyn	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .					
•	action is non-final.					
• •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of the correction of the correct</li></ul>	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action follows a response files on June 19, 2006. Applicants have amended claims 1-23 and a new claim 24 has been added.

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed June 19, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Krutko et al. (SU 1435580 A).
- 3. Claims 1-24 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The test of this section of Title 35 U. S. C. not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The test of this section of Title 35 U. S. C. not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 6. Claims 1-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Krutko et al. (SU 1435580 A).

With regard to the limitations of claims 1-4 and 7-10, Krutko discloses the process of heating methacrylic acid and terpenes in weight ration 3-4:1 in the presence of the final production product in the amount of 0.01-0.05wt.%, as well as sulphuric acid

copolymer is water-soluble (col. 1, line 43).

and water. The reagent are mixed in the following sequence: methacrylic acid, terpene hydrocarbon, sulphuric acid and an additional solution of the final product. Methacrylic acid purified by distillation in vacuum is used as the reactant. The terpenes are the

following:  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -pinene and  $\delta$ 3-carene, which are obtained by rectification of

turpentine and purified by the distillation prior to use in the reaction (abstract).

Page 3

Krutko discloses that the final copolymer is colorless and free of darkness, which is according "The Random House College Dictionary", page 250 (contains the description of the word "clear") equivalent to the word "clear". Additionally, this

Therefore, all the limitations of claim 1 and dependable claims 2-4 and 7-10 are expressly met by Krutko.

With regard to the limitations of claims 5-6 and 11-12, Krutko does not disclose the proportion of neutralization of the acid groups in the monomer and a weight-average molecular weight of the copolymer.

In the absence of criticality in the specification of maintaining the definite level of the neutralization of the acid groups in the monomer and a weight-average molecular weight of the copolymer, it is the examiner position to believe that copolymer obtained by exactly the same polymerized monomers in the aqueous phase and being colorless and water-soluble (SU'580, col. 1, lines 16-43, Table 2) would be substantially identical to the instant claimed clear, water-soluble copolymers.

With regard to the limitations of claim 14, Krutko discloses that copolymer is obtained by the method of polymerization in the aqueous phase and the concentration

Art Unit: 1713

of the copolymerizable constituents in the aqueous polymerization mixture is within the claimed range (Table 1, Examples 7-16).

7. Claims 13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krutko et al. in view of Werres et al. (WO 95/15296).

With regard to the limitations of claims 13, 15 and 16, Krutko does not disclose that the copolymer is obtained by the method of radical polymerization in the aqueous phase, wherein the component b) is used in the form of an oil-in-water emulsion.

With regard to the limitations of claims 17-21, Krutko does not disclose that the copolymer can be used in a water-conveying system in the effective amount.

Werres discloses the use of oil-in-water emulsion to prevent slime formation and inhibit the proliferation of microbes in water carrying system. The emulsion contains at least one of the following active substances as a component of the oil-phase: 1) a saturated or unsaturated, open-chain or cyclic, normal or isomeric hydrocarbon; 2) a saturated or unsaturated fatty alcohol, a saturated or unsaturated fatty acid, a fatty acid monoalkyl ester, etc.; 3) a mono- or polyester of a saturated or unsaturated fatty acid and/or polyalcohols except polyethylene alcohol; 4) a polyamide of saturated or unsaturated fatty acids; 5) an acyclic, preferably monocyclic and/or bicyclic terpene, such as a terpene hydrocarbon and/or terpene alcohol; and/or 6) a polyalkyl compound based on alkylene oxide and fatty alcohols, fatty acids and/or fatty acid glycerides of fatty acids. The proportion of oil phase in these emulsions is between 1 and 90 wt.%. The emulsions are used in concentrations of 1 to 200 ppm (abstract).

Both references are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor concerning new water-soluble terpene copolymers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of radical polymerization using an oil-water emulsion as taught by Werres in the process of polymerization of Krutko's terpene copolymer in order to prevent slime formation and inhibit the proliferation of microbes in water carrying system (WO'296, abstract), and thus to arrive at the subject matter of instant claim 13 and dependable claims 15-24.

8. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krutko et al. in view of Behr et al. (U. S. Patent 5,756,624).

With regard to the limitations of claims 22-24, Krutko does not disclose that the copolymer can be used in a water-conveying system in the effective amount for grinding and dispersing of pigments, for textile- and leather-treatment and as cleaning agent.

Krutko discloses that the copolymers of methacrylic acid and terpenes are used as surface-active dispersing agents, coagulants and flocculants, soil structure formers and thickeners, and for the production of membranes, ionites, etc. (abstract)

Behr discloses that the copolymers may be used as tackifiers in adhesives, in paints and as binders for printing inks, **textile sizing agents**, builders and hardeners. Copolymers with esters to which a relatively long-chain alcohol radical is attached are suitable for hydrophobicization, for example for **hydrophobicizing shoe** and clothing **leather** (col. 3, lines 17-23).

Art Unit: 1713

Both references are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor concerning new water-soluble terpene copolymers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Krutko's terpene copolymer for textile-and leather-treatment and as cleaning agent as taught by Behr with reasonable expectation of success.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bernshteyn whose telephone number is 571-272-2411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,614

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Bernshteyn Patent Examiner Art Unit 1713 Page 7

MB 08/31/2006

DAVID W. WU

·Wh

-- NOLOGY CENTER 1700